

EXHIBIT 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)
)
) No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)
ALL ACTIONS.)
)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF SERGEY BRIN

MARCH 19, 2013

Reported by: Rosalie A. Kramm, CSR No. 5469, CRR

11:21:15 1 now, it's possible there might have been a handful, but
11:21:18 2 I'm not aware of any examples.

11:21:32 3 Q. Was Google's board of directors informed of the
11:21:38 4 communications with Jobs at Apple about this topic?

11:21:44 5 A. My recollection, which was refreshed based on
11:21:49 6 looking at emails recently, is that we decided, you know,
11:21:53 7 in order not to, you know, agitate Steve further, that we
11:21:58 8 wouldn't make such unsolicited calls into his -- into
11:22:03 9 Apple's employees.

11:22:04 10 We felt that we should think, you know, are
11:22:05 11 there other companies where we also don't wish to, you
11:22:09 12 know, needlessly aggravate the executives, and I believe
11:22:12 13 that Genentech was an example, and Art Levinson was on
11:22:18 14 our board, and so was Intel, and Paul Otellini was on our
11:22:23 15 board. So I'm sure that we would have mentioned it to at
11:22:29 16 least those board members, probably might as well the
11:22:32 17 whole board.

11:22:33 18 Q. Did the board of directors approve the policy?

11:22:38 19 A. I don't recall there being, you know, sort of
11:22:42 20 any kind of official approval by the board.

11:22:47 21 Q. You say "official approval." I didn't ask
11:22:50 22 about official approval. I asked about approval.

11:22:52 23 A. I don't recall --

11:22:53 24 MR. RUBIN: Objection to form.

11:22:54 25 THE WITNESS: I don't recall a board approval.

11:24:30 1 BY MR. HEIMANN:

11:24:31 2 Q. Politeness on Intel's part? Is that what you

11:24:34 3 mean?

11:24:35 4 A. Politeness on Intel's part or on our part,

11:24:38 5 even, to pretend to care.

11:24:41 6 Q. How about with respect to Genentech, then?

11:24:44 7 A. Once again, I -- actually with respect to

11:24:47 8 Genentech, it probably would be better if, you know, if

11:24:50 9 there was some great person, maybe they should get into

11:24:54 10 Genentech, you know, working on cures for cancer and

11:24:57 11 things like that. I think it's -- you know, that's one

11:25:00 12 reason why I feel it would be good for us to not solicit

11:25:04 13 needlessly out of Genentech, because I wouldn't want to

11:25:08 14 disrupt that work, and it's, you know, very unrelated to

11:25:12 15 what we do.

11:25:15 16 So anyhow, I -- I don't think it would have

11:25:19 17 mattered to us.

11:25:20 18 Q. I understand you don't think it would have

11:25:22 19 mattered, but the question is whether it would have

11:25:24 20 mattered or not to us, was the understanding or

11:25:26 21 arrangement reciprocal with Genentech so that Genentech

11:25:31 22 also took the position that they wouldn't be recruiting

11:25:33 23 out of Google?

11:25:34 24 A. I -- look, I wasn't in those conversations. I

11:25:37 25 couldn't tell you. I could tell you that in my mind, I

Sergey Brin

In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

1 I, Rosalie A. Kramm, Certified Shorthand
2 Reporter licensed in the State of California, License No.
3 5469, hereby certify that the deponent was by me first
4 duly sworn and the foregoing testimony was reported by me
5 and was thereafter transcribed with computer-aided
6 transcription; that the foregoing is a full, complete,
7 and true record of said proceedings.

8 I further certify that I am not of counsel or
9 attorney for either of any of the parties in the
10 foregoing proceeding and caption named or in any way
11 interested in the outcome of the cause in said caption.


12 The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of the
13 original transcript will render the reporter's
14 certificates null and void.

15 In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
16 this day: March 30, 2013.

17 X Reading and Signing was requested.

18 _____ Reading and Signing was waived.

19 _____ Reading and signing was not requested.

20
21 
22
23 ROSALIE A. KRAMM
24
25

CSR 5469, RPR, CRR